

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

d

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademarks Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,574	02/15/2002	David Gregoire	A1756	3282
33197 7590 12/15/2003 STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS LLP 4 VENTURE, SUITE 300			EXAMINER	
			PANTUCK, BRADFORD C	
IRVINE, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	ĮV

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/077,574	GREGOIRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bradford C Pantuck	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timely, on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	? <u>7 May 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-32</u> is/are with 5) Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>2, 3, and 5</u> is/are objected to. 	 ✓ Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) 2, 3, and 5 is/are objected to. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/25/02</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometime of the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact to the drawing(s).	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom reference was included in the first sentence of	nents have been received. nents have been received in Application priority documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)). Its of the certified copies not receivestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 e first sentence of the specification provisional application has been refestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	etion No ved in this National Stage ved. Ø(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific				
reference was included in the inst sentence (or the specification of ill all Applicat	non Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/077,574

Art Unit: 3731

ون

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a bone anchor insertion device, classified in class 606, subclass 232.
- II. Claims 15-27, drawn to a method of joint repair, classified in class 606, subclass72.
- III. Claims 28-32, drawn to a device and method of using a handle and two snares, classified in class 606, subclass 103.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for a different process, such as suturing closed a puncture hole in the abdomen.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the data base search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 3731

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation.

Invention I has to do with a device with structure including a nosepiece, an actuator, and a suture tensioning mechanism. Invention III has only a handle and two snares.

They are completely different, distinct inventions.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The two inventions have very different structure and would consequently require very different searches.

3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation. Invention II relates to a method (methods) of bone repair including passing suture through tissue and especially to tensioning the suture with a mechanism. Invention III has nothing to do with tensioning suture, but relates to two snares and the interaction between them.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the data base search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3731

During a telephone conversation with Donald E. Stout on December 4th, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention I, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-32 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Objections

4. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, the phrase "which is adapted to received" should be changed to read "which is adapted to receive", or other grammatically correct language. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,28,096 to Marchand. Regarding Claim 1, Marchand discloses a bone anchor device and a method for making an orthopedic repair (at T) with the device [Figures 1 and 6-16], where the device has a handle (52), a nosepiece (32), a bone anchor (100), an actuator (40), and a suture tensioning mechanism (60).
- 6. Regarding Claim 4, Marchand discloses using a suture to attach tissue to bone [Column 1, lines 51-57]. Marchand's bone anchor is capable of performing this intended use.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 2, 3, and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 6-14 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent No. 5,647,874 to Hayhurst
- U.S. Patent No. 5,814,071 to McDevitt
- U.S. Patent No. 6,585,730 B1 to Foerster

Publication No. US 2002/0128684 A1 to Foerster

Application/Control Number: 10/077,574

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradford C Pantuck whose telephone number is (703) 305-8621. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

BCP

December 5, 2003

MICHAEL J. MILANU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700